

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DEBRA VANESSA WHITE,

CASE NO. C19-0284-JCC

10 Plaintiff,

MINUTE ORDER

11 v.

12 RELAY RESOURCE et al.,

13 Defendant.  
14

15 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.  
16 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's revised motion for an extension of time  
18 (Dkt. No. 71). On July 31, 2019, Plaintiff filed an amended complaint (Dkt. No. 47). Defendants  
19 Relay Resource and General Services Administration subsequently filed separate motions to  
20 dismiss (Dkt. Nos. 52–53) to which Plaintiff has responded (Dkt. Nos. 54, 56). Now that those  
21 defendants have also filed replies to Plaintiff's responses (Dkt. Nos. 68, 70), Plaintiff appears to  
22 be asking for an extension of time to file a "response" to the defendants' replies. However, the  
23 Local Rules typically allow a plaintiff only one opportunity to respond to a motion to dismiss.  
24 *See* W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7. The one exception appears in Local Rule 7(g), which  
25 authorizes a plaintiff to file a surreply to a reply brief. But that rule "strictly limit[s]" surreplies  
26 to addressing a request to strike material contained in a reply brief, and the rule also states that "a

1 surreply filed for any other reason will not be considered.” W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(g)(2).  
2 Consequently, the Court DENIES Plaintiff’s motion.

3 DATED this 11th day of September 2019.

4 William M. McCool  
5 Clerk of Court

6 s/Tomas Hernandez  
7 Deputy Clerk